See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/226550323

Root Apical Meristem Pattern: Hormone Circuitry and Transcriptional Networks

Chapter · January 2011

DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-13145-5_2

CITATIONS		READS	
10		5,739	
2 author	s:		
	Maria Beatrice Bitonti		Adriana Chiappetta
2	Università della Calabria	22	Università della Calabria
	113 PUBLICATIONS 2,086 CITATIONS		67 PUBLICATIONS 1,246 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project

olive oil DNA traceability View project

Multi-trait approach to enhance FRUit qualITY in post-harvest storage conditions. View project

Root Apical Meristem Pattern: Hormone Circuitry and Transcriptional Networks

M.B. Bitonti and A. Chiappetta

Contents

1	Introduction	
2	An Overview of RAM Organization in Plants	
3	Environmental Cues and RAM Patterning	41
4	Arabidopsis thaliana	43
	4.1 Morphogenetic Establishment of the RAM During Embryogenesis	
	4.2 RAM Pattern	
	4.3 Positional Signaling and Genetic Network Operating in Root Patterning	
5	Hormonal Circuitry in Determining RAM Size and Pattern	
	5.1 Auxin/Cytokinin Interplay	55
	5.2 Ethylene, Gibberellin, Abscisic Acid, and Brassinosteroids	
6	Stem-Cell State and Chromatin Remodelers	60
7	Conclusions and Perspectives	
References		

Abstract In higher plants, growth and development relies on the spatiotemporal regulation of gene expression, which is under the control of both endogenous signals and external stimuli. In this chapter, recent advances in defining signaling machinery and genetic frameworks that underlie RAM patterning and maintenance are reviewed, with a focus on the interplay between different hormone classes. The evidence for an epigenetic control of the root developmental program is also briefly considered. Conceivably, many other aspects are still to be elucidated. Future challenges deal with, on the one hand, understanding how signaling and genetic programs are modulated to achieve adaptative traits under environmental pressure and, on the other, how cell fate is reprogrammed in vivo and in vitro. We conclude that knowledge from the plant model, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, could enhance our understanding of more complex species encountered in crop

M.B. Bitonti (🖂) and A. Chiappetta

Department of Ecology, University of Calabria, Arcavacata Rende (CS), Italy e-mail: b.bitonti@unical.it; a.chiappetta@unical.it

systems and could provide relevant perspectives for both crop improvement and plant biotechnology.

1 Introduction

In higher plants, root growth is achieved by pronounced elongation of cells that are descendants of the root apical meristem (RAM), a specialized structure present at the root tip, which produces cells for virtually all of the root tissues formed during postembryonic development. In this localized microenvironment, meristematic cells can reside for potentially an indeterminate period of time and produce progeny cells while self-renewing, thus exhibiting key features of a stem-cell niche (Ohlstein et al. 2004; Li and Xie 2005; Dinneny and Benfey 2008; Morrison and Spradling 2008). This behavior relies on the capacity of RAM cells to undergo asymmetric cell division, which is another defining feature of stem-cells dictating that one daughter cell retains the meristematic fate and another daughter cell is programmed to differentiate into a specialized cell (McCulloch and Till 2005; Scheres 2007; Morrison and Spradling 2008). The acquisition of differential potential of the two daughter cells can result from an unequal partitioning of cell fate determinants through the asymmetric positioning of the cell division plate as well as from a different signaling from their surroundings.

The maintenance of the RAM is assured by a balance between the production of new meristematic cells and their displacement toward the differentiation process. However, in some cases, the RAM is genetically determined to become exhausted, and root growth shifts from an indeterminate to a determinate developmental pattern. Recently, an extensive review on the determinacy/indeterminacy of root growth has been provided by Shishkova et al. (2008), but it is outside the scope of this chapter.

Here, we review the recent advances in understanding transcriptional networks and hormone cross-talk underlying the establishment and regulation of the RAM. In particular, signals between the organizing region and the stem-cell population in the RAM as well as signals released by neighboring cells will be emphasized. Mainly, we focus on studies on the model plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

2 An Overview of RAM Organization in Plants

Precociously determined during embryogenesis, and indeed before the establishment of the shoot apical meristem (SAM), the RAM exhibits a proliferative multicellular dome that includes apical initials and their derivative cells (Fig. 1) (Clowes 1976; Barlow 1997). The number of initials varies according to species (Webster and McLeod 1996), but they are almost permanent in position and divide continuously, producing at each division one cell that continues to act as an initial



Fig. 1 Schematic of a higher plant primary root tip in longitudinal section (**a**) featuring zones of cell division, elongation, and differentiation. In (**b**), *arrows* indicate the direction of cell division; *col* columella; *cx* cortex; *e* epidermis; *en* endodermis; *lrc* lateral root cap; *QC* quiescent center; *st* stele; *TA* transit amplifying cells; adapted from Taiz and Zeiger (1998)

and one derivative cell. Derivative cells undergo numerous additional cell divisions producing a transit amplifying (TA) cell population, which is progressively displaced from initials and then allowed to differentiate (Fig. 1a). In the RAM, the initials divide proximally, laterally, or distally, thus giving rise to derivative cells for root cortex/endodermis/stele, epidermis/lateral rootcap, and columella, respectively (Fig. 1b). As a consequence, the RAM dome is located subterminally and is covered by the root cap, which protects the apical meristem, produces mucilage to facilitate a passage for the growing root, and serves as a gravity perceiving tissue. Behind the RAM is the root elongation zone where cells continue to elongate, followed by the differentiated primary tissues (Fig. 1a). Hence, the blue print for root function is laid down remarkably early during embryogenesis.

In angiosperms, the apical dome exhibits either an open or closed configuration (Clowes 1981; Heimsch and Seago 2008; and references cited therein). In the closed configuration, cell boundaries between cortical, epidermis, and root-cap regions are clearly distinguishable, while they are lacking in the open meristem (Fig. 2). Within both configurations, a large range of specific features exist in the Angiospermae (i.e., Basal angiosperms, Monocots, Eudicots) and in root ranks (i.e., primary vs. adventitious, primary vs. lateral, ephemeral vs. permanent), and have been extensively reviewed by Heimsch and Seago (2008). The specific organization of plant RAM is established early (i.e., the first day) after germination (Guttenberg 1968; Clowes 2000). However, some species exhibit RAMs that can change from



Fig. 2 Longitudinal sections through the root tip of (**a**) *Allium cepa* and (**b**) *Zea mays* [adapted from Webster and Mc Leod (1996)] exhibiting an open and closed organization, respectively. Bar scale (**a**) 70 μ m; (**b**) 100 μ m



Fig. 3 Autoradiographs of longitudinal sections through the *Allium cepa* root apical meristem (RAM) of seedlings exposed to [Me-³H]thymidine for 24 h: (a) root grown in water and (b) root supplied with ascorbic acid. Note the different size of the QC in (a) and (b), represented by area within the RAM exhibiting unlabeled nuclei. Bar scale = 120 μ m (adapted from Liso et al. 1988)

closed to open configuration and vice-versa as the root grows (Seago and Heimsch 1969; Armstrong and Heimsch 1976).

Within the RAM, meristematic cells undergo division at differential rates. One major demarcation of differential rates of division can be observed in a group of cells located centrally (Fig. 3a), which rarely divide and therefore was named the quiescent center (QC) and bears some analogies to the central zone in the SAM (Clowes 1954, 1956). Since its discovery in roots of *Zea mays* (Clowes 1954), QC

cells have long been considered a geometric necessity for root patterning as well as a reservoir of potentially meristematic cells that can be activated into division upon damage inflicted on other parts of the RAM (Clowes 1975; Barlow 1978). In line with this latter role, it has been demonstrated that OC cells are not permanently quiescent. Certainly, they can be induced to divide rapidly after irradiation (Clowes 1959, 1961), mechanical damage (Barlow 1974), carbohydrate starvation (Webster and Langenauer 1973), exposure to low temperature (Clowes and Stewart 1967), or exposure to ascorbic acid (Liso et al. 1988; Innocenti et al. 1990). However, and notably, in ascorbate-treated roots, a threshold number of OC cells were not induced to divide consistent with the existence of a minimal OC (Fig. 3b). Unlike the other treatments, the functions of meristem were not affected in these roots, thus suggesting a role for a minimal QC, maintaining and controlling RAM activity (Innocenti et al. 1990). As will be discussed later on, more recent evidence indicates that the QC represses cell differentiation of surrounding cells and therefore acts as the organizing center (OC) of the RAM stem niche (Van den berg et al. 1997).

3 Environmental Cues and RAM Patterning

In plants, both endogenous developmental factors and environmental cues can modulate cell differentiation and morphogenesis. Regarding root systems, an extensive literature exists about structural and functional modifications in a range of unrelated species, in response to water and nutrient availability, mechanical and gravitational stimuli, and responses to magnetic fields, as well as in relation to symbiotic or pathogenic interactions with heterologous organisms (Audus 1960; Behrens et al. 1982; Goodman and Ennos 1996; Fusconi et al. 1999; Stange et al. 2002; Waisel et al. 1997; Swarup et al. 2005; Potters et al. 2009).

However, with the exception of the effects on QC homeostasis, little attention has been paid to the effects induced by abiotic factors on RAM pattern as a whole (Feldman and Torrey 1975; Doncheva et al. 2005; Sanchez-Calderon et al. 2005; Sharma and Dubey 2007, De Tullio et al. 2009). Nevertheless, some data exist showing that exposure of *Zea mays* seedlings to a continuous electromagnetic field induced a stimulation in the rate of root elongation and resulted in a significant increase in cell expansion, in both the acropetal (proximal metaxylem cell lineage) (Fig. 4a, b) and basipetal (distal root cap cells) (Fig. 4e–h) directions. Concomitantly, a significant reduction in the size of the QC occurred (Fig. 4c, d) in roots exposed to this magnetic field together with an advanced differentiation of initials previously in surface contact with the QC (Bitonti et al. 2006). These results from a rather more complex system than *Arabidopsis* again underlie the importance of signals generated by cell-to-cell surface contact influencing differentiation and controlling root pattern.



Fig. 4 Longitudinal sections through maize root tips in (**a**, **c**, **e**, **g**) control roots and (**b**, **d**, **f**, **h**) roots after 30-h exposure to a magnetic field. (**a**, **b**) Metaxylem cells at 800 mm from the capjunction, scale bar = 40 μ m; note differences in length between control (**a**) and exposed root (**b**). (**c**, **d**) Autoradiograph of root apical meristem of seedlings exposed to [Me-³H]thymidine for 24 h; ring indicates quiescent centre (QC) characterized by absence of labeling, scale bar = 150 μ m; note differences in QC size between control (**c**) and exposed root (**d**). (**e**, **f**) root cap cells at the junction with the RAM; (**g**, **h**) root cap cells in the distal zone of columella, scale bar = 15 μ m; note differences in cell size and structure between control (**e**, **g**) and exposed root (**f**, **h**). Adapted from Bitonti et al. (2006)

4 Arabidopsis thaliana

4.1 Morphogenetic Establishment of the RAM During Embryogenesis

During embryogenesis, the morphogenetic organization of the future plant is defined through the establishment of two overlapping developmental patterns along the longitudinal (apical-basal) and radial axes. Along the longitudinal axis, the mature embryo exhibits the SAM, located between two cotyledons, the embryo axis or hypocotyl, and spatially separate from the RAM (Fig. 5a). The establishment of the radial pattern relies on the determination of three different tissues: protoderm, ground meristem, and procambium, which will generate cells that are fated to differentiate into epidermis, endodermis, and other cortical tissues and vascular tissues, respectively (Fig. 5b). In order to highlight the composite origin of the RAM, the morphogenetic events leading to its development are summarized in Fig. 6. Briefly, the stele, endodermis, cortex, epidermis, and lateral cap derive from the apical cell established at the bicellular embryo stage, while the QC and columella derive from the basal cell. It is evident that in plants very early during development (i.e., from the first zygotic division), cell fate determination relies on asymmetric cell division. Indeed, apical cell will give rise to most of the embryo proper while the basal cell will form the suspensor, a structure that physically positions the embryo within the seed and plays an active role in nutrient acquisition during early embryo development. In such a way, embryo polarity is established early through a mechanism that is common to zygotic embryo development in Fucus (Kropf et al. 1999). The importance of asymmetric cell division can be seen



Fig. 5 Schematic of (a) longitudinal and (b) cross section of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mature embryo featuring the establishment of apical-basal and radial pattern, respectively. Adapted from Altamura et al. (2007)



Fig. 6 Cartoon illustrating the main stages of *Arabidopsis thaliana* embryo development and the composite origin of the root pole. (a) zygotic cell, (b) bicellular stage, (c) octant stage, (d) globular stage, (e) triangular stage, (f) late-heart stage, (g) torpedo stage, (h) adult root. *ac* apical cell; *bc* basal cell; *col* columella; *e* epidermis; *en/cx* endodermis/cortex; *ep* embryo proper; *h* hypophysis; *lrc* lateral root cap; *QC* quiescent centre; *s* suspensor; *st* stele. Adapted from Altamura et al. (2007)

through phenotypic analysis of mutants that have lost this feature of cell partitioning. For example, the *gnome* (*gn*) mutant that does not exhibit asymmetric zygotic division is unable to organize an embryo with the normal polarity featuring spatially separated RAM and SAM (Mayer et al. 1993). However, it must be underlined that asymmetric division does not inherently block cell fate as demonstrated by *twin* mutants, which are able to produce viable additional embryos from the basal cell of the bicellular stage, suggesting that cell-to-cell communication is a relevant player in developmental processes (Vernon and Meinke 1994). Hence, as we will discuss later, asymmetric cell division, although a notable feature of cell fate determination, is by no means the sole determinant of tissue specification.

4.2 RAM Pattern

The cellular organization of the RAM in *Arabidopsis* seedlings was well defined by Dolan et al. (1993). The *Arabidopsis* RAM exhibits a dome typically organized as a three-tiered closed meristem, where distinct cell layers of initials give rise to the stele (S), endodermis/cortex (EC), and root-cap/epidermis (RCE) tissues (Fig. 7).



Fig. 7 Cartoon illustrating the organization of root apical meristem of *Arabidopsis thaliana* and the division planes of initial cells. *iCol* columella cell initial; *iEC* endodermis/cortex cell initial; *iRCE* lateral root cap/epidemis cell initial; *iS* stele cell initial

The QC is constituted by four founder cells surrounded by initials. Based on asymmetric division, the RCE initial firstly divides periclinally to give rise to one lateral root cap cell (the outer cell) and one inner that retains the role of an initial that divides anticlinally producing one epidermal cell (the upper cell) and one initial cell (the lower cell). In turn, the cortical and endodermal cells derive from two consecutive asymmetric cell divisions: the EC initial first undergoes an anticlinal division and the daughter cell in contact with the QC maintains the stem-cell fate, while the other derivate cell divides periclinally producing an inner and outer cell, which will differentiate into the endodermis, the innermost layer of the cortex and the next outer cortical layer, respectively. Finally, the S initial divides periclinally giving origin to xylem along only one central axis (i.e., 4/5 cell tiers in contact with QC) and phloem tissues. The most external S initials divide transversely to form the pericycle. Distally, columella initials below the QC divide periclinally producing central cells of the root cap (Fig. 7).

Cell ablation experiments have largely demonstrated that positional information more than clonal lineage is relevant in defining cell fate. Indeed, if an initial cell is ablated, an adjacent cell in contact with this cell divides, producing a new initial. Moreover, when a differentiated cell is ablated along a differentiating cell line, only an adjacent derivate or TA cell, which maintains the contact with a differentiated cell. Thus, a positional effect and a short-range cell-to-cell signal have a role in inducing asymmetric cell division (Van den Berg et al. 1995; Scheres 2001).

4.3 Positional Signaling and Genetic Network Operating in Root Patterning

Positional information established through both long-distance signals and cell-tocell interactions as well as specific transcription factor activity are known to be important throughout plant development (Meyerowitz 2002; Vernoux and Benfey 2005; Qu and Zhu 2006; Veit 2006; Sablowski 2007; Scheres 2007; Benjamins and Scheres 2008; Kiefer 2009; Robert and Friml 2009; Stahl and Simon 2010).

Cell-to-cell interactions involve plasmodesmata and the cell wall. It is known that plasmodesmata dynamically guarantee or interrupt symplastic connection between cells, thus controlling the trafficking of molecules, which play key roles in developmental events. In particular, specific transcription factors at the protein or mRNA level but also micro (mi)RNAs can be translocated through plasmodesmata (Lucas and Lee 2004; Jackson 2005; Lee and Cui 2009). For example, the transcription factor, SHORTROOT (SHR), is specifically translocated into the QC and endodermis (Nakajima et al. 2001). More of this later (see Sect. 4.3.2).

The cell wall is also a relevant component of both long- and short-distance intercellular signaling. Apoplastic continuity along xylem vascular elements represents a route for long-distance signaling played by several types of molecules such as different hormones and sugars (Davies 1995; Francis and Halford 2006). Moreover, cell wall components can act as polarization markers. For example, LIPID TRANSFER PROTEIN (AtLTP1) is required at the protein level for cuticle formation. It is expressed in the globular stage of embryogenesis, at the level of the protoderm, but thereafter, its expression is more polar being confined to the developing hypocotyl and cotyledons but is excluded from the pole of the root where cuticle is neither formed nor required (Fig. 8) (Vroemen et al. 1996). Importantly, ROOT-SHOOT-HYPOCOTYL-DEFECTIVE (RSH), which encodes a cell wall hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein, and KNOLLE, which encodes syntaxin, a specific cytokinesis protein, are necessary for the correct positioning of the cell plate during cytokinesis (Lauber et al. 1997; Hall and Cannon 2002). This is essential for defining the first asymmetric division of the zygote and subsequent normal embryo development. Indeed, knolle mutant embryos show highly irregular shapes comprising binucleate cells along with unusually shaped cells (Waizenegger et al. 2000).

An important role in both early embryogenesis and postembryonal root development that involves positional signaling is played by hormones. Polar auxin transport through specific alignment of plasma membrane-based influx and efflux carriers plays a major role in providing positional cues for developmental processes; localized auxin biosynthesis also contributes to create hormone gradients



(Friml 2003; Ljung et al. 2005; Grieneisen et al. 2007; Benjamins and Scheres 2008; Stepanova et al. 2008; Ikeda et al. 2009; Petràsek and Friml 2009). Moreover, recent work has highlighted an antagonistic and, at times, transient interaction between auxin and cytokinins in determining positional information for specifying cell fate and organ pattern (Dello Ioio et al. 2007, 2008; Muller and Sheen 2008; Perilli et al. 2009).

4.3.1 RAM Establishment

Early during embryogenesis, the polarization of different auxin carriers determines the formation of auxin gradients and their directional flow (Benková et al. 2003; Friml et al. 2003; Petrášek and Friml 2009; Zazímalová et al. 2010). In particular, auxin transport is under the control of influx AUXIN RESISTANT1/LIKE AUX1 (AUX1/LAX) symporters, efflux PINFORMED (PIN) transporters, and ABCB/ P-GLYPROTEIN (PGP) efflux/conditional transporters (Vieten et al. 2007; Petrášek and Friml 2009). Notably, the transcription of all these carriers is influenced by auxin itself, an action that is only a component of the fine-tuning mechanism by which auxin acts as one of the key regulators of its own transport (Vieten et al. 2007; Petrášek and Friml 2009). A coordinate action of different efflux carriers is essential in embryo patterning with a major role for PIN-dependent auxin transport. At the proembryo bicellular stage, PIN genes are already expressed and a nonpolarized distribution of PIN1 protein is detected in the apical cell, while PIN7 localizes along the upper plasmalemma of the basal cell upper side, thus driving auxin flux toward the proembryo (Fig. 9a). At the eight cell stage, PIN7 is localized along the plasmalemma of the upper side of the hypophysis, the founder cell of the root stem-cell niche, and suspensor contacting cell (Fig. 9b). Thus, the auxin flux continues to be driven toward the developing embryo. At the globular stage, PIN protein localization changes dramatically. PIN1 relocalizes to the membrane of the lower side of the basal cells, while PIN7 shifts to the membrane of the lower side of the hypophysis and suspensor contacting cell (Fig. 9c). In such a way, auxin accumulates strongly at the base of the embryo (hypophysis) (Friml et al. 2003). As mentioned above, positional information is also related to a polarized repression of cytokinin signaling. In particular, early cytokinin activity is detectable in the hypophysis and maintained in its apical, lens-shaped derivative cell, which will form the QC. Conversely, cytokinin output signal is suppressed in the basal cell lineage through an auxin-mediated transcriptional activation of A-type ARABI-DOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATOR 7 and 15 (type-A ARR7 and ARR15), which encode two negative regulators of cytokinin signaling (Fig. 9d) (Muller and Scheen 2008; To and Kieber 2007). Consistent with this, arr7 and arr5 mutants are unable to specify a stem-cell niche required for the establishment of a normal embryogenic root (Muller and Sheen 2008).

As embryogenesis proceeds, auxin preferentially accumulates in the developing root and at the torpedo stage, when the QC is already established; an auxin maximum is achieved in columella initial cells below it (Fig. 9e, f). *pin1* and

Fig. 9 (a, b, c, e) Schematic of the localization of PIN1 and PIN7 auxin carriers and whole-mount visualization of auxin accumulation through DR5rev::GFP expression during embryo development in Arabidopsis thaliana. (d) Schematic of cytokinin signaling in Arabidopsis globular embryo. (a) bicellular stage; (b) octant stage; (c, d) globular stage; (e) heart stage; (f) torpedo stage; h hypophysis; e embryo; s suspensor. Adapted from Altamura et al. (2007), Friml et al. (2003), and Petrášek and Friml (2009)



pin7 mutants fail to develop a polar longitudinal axis, thus supporting the key role for PIN-dependent auxin transport in the morphogenetic process (Friml et al. 2003). In this context, we may recall that subcellular trafficking and targeting of auxin transporters to direct auxin flow, as well as transport activity, relies on several cellular and molecular mechanisms, which involve posttranslational modifications of transporters themselves, membrane composition, endocytosis, and endosomal sorting/recycling (rewied by Petràsek and Friml 2009, Zazimalova et al. 2010). Consistent with this is the similarity between *pin* and *gn* mutants, which are unable to organize a longitudinal axis, a deleterious phenotype that begins with the first division of the zygote (Mayer et al. 1993). GNOME (GN) encodes an ARF-GEF (ADP Rybosylation Factor-Guanilic Exchange Factor) protein involved in vesicular trafficking from the trans-Golgi (TGN Trans Golgi Network) to the plasmalemma. Moreover, the gn phenotype is linked to nonpolar accumulation of high auxin concentrations due to a wrong localization of PIN1 protein in embryo cells during development (Steinmann et al. 1999; Richter et al. 2010). Confirming that vesicular trafficking is integral to the polar distribution of auxin carriers, these observations indicate how PIN and GN genes operate on the same genetic pathway.

Notably, three relevant genes for embryo pattern such as *MONOPTEROS* (*MP*), BODENLOS (BDL), and AUXINRESISTANCE6 (AXR6) are either under the control of, or are responsive to, high auxin levels (Hardtke and Berleth 1998; Hamann et al. 2002; Hellmann et al. 2003; Weijers et al. 2005). Indeed, MP encodes a transcription factor belonging to the AUXIN RESPONSIVE FACTORS (ARF) family, which interacts with specific DNA sequences, named Auxin Responsive Elements (AuxRE) (Fig. 10). BDL encodes an AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID (Aux/ IAA) protein, which is able to bind ARF transcription factors, such as MP, forming an inactive complex. AXR6 protein is a component of a ubiquitin-ligase complex involved in the degradation of BDL protein. Moreover, high auxin levels on the one hand promote the interaction of MP-AuxRE but on the other inhibits BDL-MP binding (Fig. 10) According to this network, in both loss-of-function mp mutants, gain-of-function bdl mutants and loss-of-function axr6 mutants, target AuxRE sequences are not transcribed despite the presence of auxin. This is consistent with the similar phenotypes of single mutants defective in the above genes; they all fail to exhibit an embryonic root and all have a reduced vascular system (Hardtke and Berleth 1998; Hamman et al. 1999; Hobbie et al. 2000).

Possible gene targets of MP and BDL activity belong to the *WUSCHEL*-*RELATED HOMEOBOX* (*WOX*) gene family, which includes 14 members. Among these, four are differentially expressed during embryogenesis both spatially and temporally (Fig. 11) (Haecker et al. 2004). On the basis of their expression pattern, and as well as by the *wox* phenotypes, specific roles have been postulated for each member. In particular, at the early globular stage, a role in the specification of stem-cells, which will form the QC and embryo root, has been defined for WOX



Fig. 10 Scheme depicting the interaction between *MP*, *BDL*, and *AXR6* gene activities in the context of auxin signaling (see text for further details). Adapted from Altamura et al. (2007)



Fig. 11 Schematic of spatial and temporal expression pattern of $(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{j})$ WOX genes during early embryogenesis and $(\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k})$ of WO5 gene at embryo heart stage and adult root of Arabidopsis thaliana. $(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c})$ zygotic cell; $(\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{i})$ from bicellular to advanced globular stage; (\mathbf{j}) heart stage; (\mathbf{k}) adult roots; hy hypophysis; ls lens-shaped cell; QC quiescent centre. Adapted from Altamura et al. (2007)

5 and WOX 9, respectively. In particular, at the early globular stage, WOX 5 expression first occurs in the embryonic cell lineage that will give rise to the QC. After the division of the hypophysis, *WOX5* transcripts are present in the upper lens-shaped cell that gives rise to the QC, while they are absent in the lower cell that gives rise to the central root cap (Fig. 11h, i). Subsequently, at the heart and bent cotyledon stages, *WOX5* expression is detectable in the direct descendants of the lens-shaped cell represented by the four cells of the QC (Fig. 11j, k). As discussed in further detail later, in the adult root, *WOX5* is involved in maintaining cell-stem state in a noncell-autonomous manner (Haecker et al. 2004; Sarkar et al. 2007; Breuninger et al. 2008). Evidence is available that the BDL/MP-mediated auxin signaling is required for *WOX5* and *WOX9* expression. For example, *WOX5*

expression was rarely detected in either *mp* or *bdl* mutants and *WOX9* expression was affected in *mp bdl* double mutants (Haecker et al. 2004; Sarkar et al. 2007).

4.3.2 RAM Maintenance

During postembryonic development, root distal pattern is related to auxin distribution and its antagonistic interplay with cytokinins. In growing roots, auxin polar transport and local biosynthesis act together to produce auxin gradients and maxima at the root tip, with its highest concentration in the QC and likely columella initials (Benkova et al. 2003; Blilou et al. 2005; Grieneisen 2007; Ikeda et al. 2009; Petersson et al. 2009). Several members of PIN gene family are differentially expressed in the root, and each transporter exhibits both a tissue-specific and cellpolarized localization, which, in effect, configures the root pole as a sink for auxin (Benková et al. 2003; Friml et al. 2003; Blilou et al. 2005; Petrášek and Friml 2009).

Just like during embryogenesis, the auxin gradient modulates the activity of the auxin-inducible *PLETHORA 1* and 2 (*PLT1* and 2) genes (Fig. 12a, b), which encode AP2 class transcription factors that have an essential role in the specification of stem-cells. In particular, stem-cell fate is promoted by high *PLT* expression,



Fig. 12 Scheme depicting (**a**) auxin polar flux (adapted from Vernoux and Benfey 2005) and (**b**) its interaction with a transcriptional network in root patterning (see text for further details). *Arrows* indicate positive regulation; *barred lines* indicate negative regulation

whereas lower and lowest PLT expression promotes daughter cell proliferation and differentiation, respectively (Aida et al. 2004; Blilou et al. 2005; Galinha et al. 2007). Through a feedback mechanism, PLT proteins promote PIN expression, assuring a steady-state high level of auxin and therefore maintaining the stem-cell niche. A histone acetyltransferase activity, General Control Nonrepressed protein5 (GCN5), is also necessary to promote PLT2 expression (Fig. 12b) (Kornet and Scheres 2009). Accordingly, the gcn5 mutants exhibit a short root meristem and fail to maintain a QC, but their phenotype can be reversed by PLT2 overexpression. Plant specific Cyclin-dependent kinases CDKB1 and 2 and CYCLIN B1;1 (CYCB1), which act at the G2-to-M phase transition of cell cycle, have been suggested as putative target genes of PLT2 (Fig. 12b) (Aida et al. 2004; Ishida et al. 2009; Stahl and Simon 2010). It is known that CYCs and CDKs are the major drivers of the mitotic cell cycle, and a reduction in CDK activity is a primary feature of cells that enter the endocycle, in which cells replicate their DNA without undergoing cell division (Larkins et al. 2001). The transition from the mitotic cell cycle into the endocycle is often coupled with the switch from cell proliferation to cell differentiation, and therefore, it is tightly integrated into plant developmental programs (Francis 2007). Interestingly, PLT1 and 2 induce the expression and/or accumulation of HIGH PLOIDY2 (HPY2), a nuclear-localized SMALL UBIQUI-TIN-RELATED MODIFIER E3-ligase (SUMO E3-ligase), which is expressed in proliferating cells of the RAM and is a negative regulator of endoreduplication (Ishida et al. 2009). CDKB1 and 2 and CYCB1 levels were reduced in hpy2-1 mutants suggesting that they are among the targets of HPY2 for sumovlation in order to modulate their activities (Ishida et al. 2009). Consequently, a picture emerges in which auxin maintains root meristem homeostasis through PLT1/ 2 expression and high HPY2 expression, which prevents endoreduplication and promotes cell proliferation (Ishida et al. 2009).

The activity of plant-specific GRAS family of transcription factors such as SCARECROW (SCR) and SHORTROOT (SHR) is also essential for root meristem patterning (Pysh et al. 1999; Nakajima et al. 2001, Sabatini et al. 2003). GRAS factors are required for correct function of the stem niche: *SHR* is expressed in the provascular cells of the stele and its encoded protein moves to adjacent QC cells and the endodermal initial cell layer (Fig. 12a) where it interacts with SCR through its central binding domain and is sequestered in the nucleus thereby preventing further SHR movement (Nakajima et al. 2001; Cui et al 2007). The interaction results in the activation of target genes, including *SCR* itself (Fig. 12b), which is required for the specification of QC identity and stem-cell homeostasis as well as for the promotion of asymmetric cell division, which underlies tissue specification in the E/C initials surrounding the QC (Di Laurenzio et al. 1996; Sabatini et al. 2003).

In this context, we must underline a trait that is distinctive of the *Arabidopsis* root compared to other plant species, that is, the absence of further asymmetric cell division after endodermis specification and the consequent maintenance of a two-layer ground tissue (i.e., endodermis and cortex) for a long period after germination (2 weeks) in spite of the presence of the SHR and SCR transcription factors

(Helariutta et al. 2000; Cui et al. 2007). However, more than 2 weeks after germination, additional divisions occur and a second or third layer of cortical cells form the middle cortex (Paquette and Benfey, 2005). Note that scr mutants are characterized by a premature middle cortex elevating the role of SCR in promoting the first but repressing additional asymmetric division in the endodermal cell layer. Posttranslational modification as well as interactions with other proteins could account for SCR's dual activities. Recently, a number of putative SCRinteracting proteins have been identified, which specifically interact with its N-terminal domain. Unlike the C-terminal domain, which interacts only with SHR thus activating asymmetric cell division, the N-terminal region was found to be a versatile interaction domain necessary to repress further cell divisions. The transcriptional repressor LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN 1 (LHP1), highly expressed in the root elongation zone, was included among the SCR interacting proteins (Cui and Benfey 2009). In such a way, SCR could either activate or inhibit gene expression depending on the interacting partner (Fig. 13). Notably, both LHP1 and SCR bind to the promoter of MAGPIE (MGP), a zinc finger protein previously confirmed as an SCR target, which has a role in ground tissue patterning by restricting SHR action (Welch et al. 2007). Moreover, MGP and SCR expression are enhanced in the *lhp1* mutant, which exhibits a premature middle cortex. This led to the conclusion that LHP1 plays a role in cortex formation by acting together with SCR in preventing further asymmetric cell divisions (Fig. 12b). (Cui and Benfey 2009; Welch et al. 2007). On the basis of the above-described genetic network, the stem-cell niche can be identified as the domain where the highest expression levels of PLT1, SHR, and SCR overlap (Fig. 12a) (Sabatini et al. 2003; Aida et al. 2004; Nawy et al. 2005; Galinha et al. 2007). Moreover, SCR acts cell autonomously to



Fig. 13 Scheme depicting the interaction of LHP1, SPY, and GA signaling in a common epigenetic regulation of SCR activity in cortex formation (see text for further details). *c* cortex; *iEC* endodermis/cortex initial; *e* endodermis. Adapted from Cui and Benfey (2009)

maintain QC cell identity, whereas a nonautonomous signal from the QC maintains adjacent stem-cell division as demonstrated through cell ablasion experiments. Indeed, the ablation of one QC cell induces a precocious differentiation of columella initials in surface contact with the ablated cell (Van den Berg et al. 1997). Besides featuring the QC as the root OC, this genetic network is consistent with the reestablishment of a new functional QC from proximal initials after cell ablation: initials undergo division and auxin accumulates in these new cells. This in turn induces the expression of *PLT* genes, which activate *SCR*, thus respecifying QC identity.

Although *PLT* and *SHR–SCR* pathways are essential for the maintenance of the stem-cell population, loss-of-function of each these genes differentially affects it. Presumably, all these transcription factors do not control the same target genes with the possible exception of *WOX5*. Expression of *WOX* is confined to the QC (Fig. 11k) and plays a role in maintaining the stem-cell state and, most likely, controls QC-specific gene expression (Haecker et al. 2004; Sarkar et al. 2007.) Consistent with such a key role, *wox5-1* mutants exhibit precocious differentiation of columella cells, whereas the proximal meristem remains unaffected; ubiquitous *WOX5* expression is differentially modulated by the above-mentioned pathways. In particular, *WOX5* transcripts are undetectable or reduced in *shr* and *scr* mutants. Conversely, *PLT1* and *PLT2* play only a minor role since in *plt1*, *plt2* double mutant, while *WOX5* expression can be occasionally expanded, but *PLT1* expression is normal in *wox5-1*.

Note the unidirectionality of *WOX5* signaling, which is only required for columella stem-cell maintenance that resembles the unidirectional control played by *WUS* on the stem-cell niche in the SAM (Sarkar et al. 2007). Moreover, *WUS* and *WOX* genes exhibit comparable patterns of spatial expression being confined to the organizing centers of the SAM and RAM, respectively (Mayer et al. 1998; Sarkar et al. 2007). All these features, together with the high degree of sequence similarity between the two genes, have been interpreted as a homology in the homeostasis mechanisms operating in the SAM and RAM (Sablowski 2007). That both genes can functionally replace each other offers further support of such an interpretation (Gallois et al. 2004; Sarkar et al. 2007). This is consistent with the hypothesis that the RAM has evolved from the SAM as an adaptive strategy for water and nutrient uptake and soil anchorage, following water emersion (Jiang and Feldman 2005). Based on recent evidence showing that gymnosperms exhibit only one *WUS/WOX5* proortholog, separation of *WUS* and *WOX* genes has occurred relatively recently during the evolution of angiosperms (Nardmann et al. 2009).

As in the SAM, RAM totipotent cells progressively undergo a determinate fate. As a consequence, SAM/RAM homeostasis relies on a balance between the number of cells that are maintained in the stem-cell state and the number of TA cells, progressively displaced toward the differentiation zone. In the SAM, a feedback loop is established between *WUS* and members of the *CLAVATA* gene family that dynamically confines the organizing center into a defined number of cells (Brand et al. 2000). In particular, *WUS* is expressed in the organizing center keeping

stem-cells undifferentiated and inducing the expression of CLV3 that encodes a small ligand polypeptide for the *CLV1* encoded receptor-like kinase that, in turn, assists in maintaining the size of the WUS-expressing region (Mayer et al. 1998; Brand et al. 2000; Schoof et al. 2000). The closest homologs of CLV3 that are expressed in root systems are members of CLV3/ENDOSPERM SURROUNDING *REGION* (*CLE*) family. Some of the *CLE* genes act to reduce root meristem size (e. g., CLV3, CLE19, CLE40) whilst others promote cell proliferation in the stele (CLE41) (Hobe et al. 2003; Fiers et al. 2006). More recently, a clearer role has been assigned to *CLE40* in controlling cell proliferation in the distal root meristem. It was proposed that CLE40 protein is secreted from columella cells into the OC and represses WOX5 expression therein (Fig. 12b). Seemingly, this effect is brought about through CLE40's putative receptor, ARABIDOPSIS CRINKLY4 (ACR4), which is mainly expressed in the distal meristem and locally restricts cell division activity interfering with columella stem-cell maintenance (De Smet et al. 2008). Accordingly, *cle40* and *acr4* mutants exhibit opposite phenotypes (De Smet et al. 2008; Stahl and Simon 2009; Stahl et al. 2009). Hence, in the RAM, a CLE40/ WOX5 pathway parallels the CLV3/WUS pathway in the SAM. However, a clear difference exists in that the feedback signal from differentiated descendant cells control stem-cell proliferation in the root, while in the shoot, signaling is derived within the stem-cell area (Brand et al. 2000; Schoof et al. 2000). Moreover, in the RAM but not in the SAM, this role is exerted through a cell-to-cell signal analogous, perhaps to cell to cell signaling in stem cell niches in animals (e.g., Spradling et al. 2001).

5 Hormonal Circuitry in Determining RAM Size and Pattern

A distinctive feature of plant hormones is to act synergically or antagonistically in several morphogenetic events during plant development. Recent advances in resolving the cross-talk between different hormone classes in controlling root patterning are assessed here.

5.1 Auxin/Cytokinin Interplay

Root meristem size is determined by the rate of cell division of stem and TA cells in the division zone and by the rate of cell elongation prior to differentiation of cells in the differentiation zone. Clearly, there is widespread evidence for auxin in promoting and controlling cell division in the RAM. Auxin action is mediated by auxin receptors, such as TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE1 (TIR1). This protein is a component of the E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, which targets the transcriptional repressors of the Aux/IAA (AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID) family for proteasome-mediated degradation (Fig. 14) (Kepinski and Leyser 2005). In such



Fig. 14 Scheme depicting mechanisms of auxin on the coregulator-transcription factor duo AUX/ IAA-ARF. Adapted from Taiz and Zeiger (2002)

a way, auxin response factors (ARFs), which are inhibited by Aux/IAA, are released to activate the transcription of auxin-responsive genes. A negative-feed-back loop is active since auxin negatively regulates Aux/IAA abundance while their respective genes are themselves auxin-induced (Fig. 14) (Benjamins and Scheres 2008). Auxin action has also been linked to changes in cellular redox status (De Tullio et al. 2009; Eckardt 2010; Jiang et al. 2010), as well as alternative auxin-responsive pathways, and an even more complex signaling has been described (Benjamins and Scheres 2008; Lau et al. 2008; Strader et al. 2008). However, detailed reviewing of this mechanism is beyond the scope of the current chapter.

As recently demonstrated, from the earliest phases of embryogenesis, cytokinins act antagonistically to auxin in controlling root pattern through to postembryonic development (Muller and Sheen 2008; Moubayidin et al. 2009; Perilli et al 2009; Werner and Schmülling 2009). In particular, during embryogenesis, cytokinin/ auxin interplay has an essential role for the specification of the first root stem-cell niche, which is marked by an auxin concentration maximum occurring in a single cell (i.e., hypophysis) (Fig. 9). As mentioned above (see Sect. 4.3.1), a high level of auxin at the level of the hypophysis activates the expression of two negative regulators of cytokinin signaling, thus suppressing cytokinin output (Muller and Sheen 2008).

As far as postembryonic root development is concerned, cytokinins promote cell differentiation at the boundaries between the division and elongation zones (i.e., the transition zone) by suppressing auxin signaling and transport, while auxin promotes cell division by suppressing cytokinin signaling (Blilou et al. 2005; Dello Ioio et al 2007, 2008; Perilli et al. 2009; Ruzicka et al. 2009). In particular, increased



Fig. 15 Scheme depicting hormone interaction in transcriptional network underlying root patterning (see text for further details). *Arrows* indicate positive regulation; *barred lines* indicate negative regulation. Adapted from Stahl and Simon (2009)

cytokinin levels reduce root meristem size and inhibit root growth, by modulating PIN expression and therefore auxin distribution. This interplay relies on the convergence of both hormone classes on the same target gene, SHORTHYPOCOTYL (SHY2), which encodes an IAA-class repressor protein of the auxin signaling pathway (Fig. 15) (Benjamins and Scheres 2008). In particular, SHY2 prevents the activation of auxin-responsive genes by negatively regulating PIN 1, 3, and 7 and therefore repressing auxin export at the vascular transition zone (Dello Ioio et al. 2008). Note that auxin and cytokinins control SHY2 in opposite ways; auxin drives SHY2 protein degradation, through a ubiquitin-ligase complex (SCF^{TIR1}), thus stabilizing PIN expression level and auxin distribution. Conversely, cytokinins promote SHY2 expression, via a two-component signaling pathway (AHK3/AA1), which activates ARR1, a primary type-B cytokinin response factor that binds to the SHY2 promoter specifically at the vascular tissue transition zone (Fig. 15) (Benjamin and Scheres 2008; Dello Ioio et al. 2008; Moubayidin et al. 2009). Thus, in the presence of cytokinins, auxin transport is limited, auxin-dependent cell division is antagonized, and cell elongation occurs. Recently, it has been shown in

maize that an ARR-mediated cytokinin signal is inactive in the QC and such repression could be related to the maintenance of the QC (Jiang et al. 2010). Finally, it must be underlined that a negative feedback control is active, since SHY2 protein downregulates *ISOPENTENYLTRANSFERASE* (*AtIPT*), which encodes an enzyme involved in a rate-limiting step of cytokinin biosynthesis (Fig. 15) (Sakakibara 2006; Dello Ioio et al. 2008). Note also that cytokinins antagonize auxin signaling and distribution during lateral root formation (Fukaki and Tasaka 2009). However, cytokinin-induced inhibition of root primordia development remains unaltered in auxin mutants, and auxin cannot reverse the cytokinin-induced inhibitory effect, suggesting that auxin and cytokinins likely control lateral root initiation through discrete pathways (Werner and Schmülling 2009)

5.2 Ethylene, Gibberellin, Abscisic Acid, and Brassinosteroids

In addition to auxin/cytokinins, an even more complex cross-talk between other hormone classes and transcription factors is active in the control of root pattern.

Ethylene and auxin interplay in maintaining RAM size. In particular, ethylene cooperates to inhibit cell elongation by stimulating localized auxin synthesis through TRYPTOPHAN AMINONOTRANFERASE (TAA1) activity; TAA1 is expressed in the QC and is involved in the indol-3-pyruvic acid (IPA) branch of the auxin biosynthetic pathway (Stepanova et al. 2008). This relationship between local auxin synthesis and ethylene response is supported by phenotypic analyses of taal and tar2 mutants, which exhibit root-specific ethylene insensivity and a reduction in auxin concentration; TRYPTOPHAN AMINONOTRANFERASE RELATED2 (TAR2) shows very close sequence homology to TAA1. Notably, both mutants exhibit an arrest of root growth due to precocious differentiation of meristematic cells leading to the complete loss of the stem-cell niche (Stepanova et al. 2008; Ortega-Martinez et al. 2007). On the other hand, ethylene production is modulated by the activity of CULLIN 3 (CUL3) proteins, members of a ubiquitinligase degrading complex, which targets 1-AMINOCYCLOPROPANE-1CAR-BOXYLATE SYNTHASE (ACS) for degradation. Normally, synthase (ACS) proteins are rate-limiting for ethylene biosynthesis. A negative feedback mechanism between ethylene signaling and response is also active (Fig. 15) (Chae and Kieber 2005; Thomann et al. 2009). In line with the role of CUL3 protein, the cul3 mutant shows a reduced root meristem size and cell number, an effect due to a premature transition of cells to the elongation zone rather than to any alterations in cell division activity (Thomann et al. 2009). Notably, CUL3 proteins also control distal root patterning by modulating the division of the stem-cell niche and columella cap cells. Although this role should be consistent with the involvement of CUL3 proteins in ethylene synthesis and consequent increase of auxin level, an ethylene-independent role and a broader connection with auxin action has also been suggested for CUL3 proteins (Ortega-Martinez et al. 2007; Thomman et al. 2009).

The interplay between auxin and ethylene in root patterning is strengthened by their convergence on the activity of DELLA proteins, a subgroup of the GRAS protein family, which stimulate the production of cell cycle inhibitors thus limiting cell proliferation rate, although without affecting the stem-cell niche (Achard et al. 2003). In particular, ethylene delays the degradation of DELLA proteins, whereas auxin negatively modulates their stability (Achard et al. 2003; Fu and Harberd 2003). In the context of hormone circuitry, it is also worth noting that the degradation of DELLA proteins is controlled by another important hormone class, the Gibberellins (GAs) (Achard et al. 2009). This is consistent with a promotive effect of auxin and a repressive effect of ethylene on GA regulation of root elongation (Fig. 15).

The role of GA signaling in Arabidopsis root development has been largely documented in relation to cortex cell proliferation and therefore radial pattern. We described above (see Sect. 4.3.2) the role of SCR in promoting the first but repressing additional asymmetric division in the endodermal cell layer, thus assuring the maintenance of a two-layer ground tissue (Cui et al. 2007). This occurs through the activity of LHP1, a transcriptional repressor of SCR expression (see Sect. 4.3.2), which physically interacts with SCR itself (Fig. 13) (Welch et al. 2007; Cui and Benfey 2009). Note that the scr phenotype, which exhibits a premature middle cortex, is suppressed by GA treatment but enhanced through the inhibition of GA biosynthesis (Paquette and Benfey 2005). Notably, the *lhp1* phenotype is also rescued by GA treatment, suggesting that LHP1 and SCR share a common mechanism based on a major role of GA in repressing premature cortex proliferation (Sung et al. 2006; Cui and Benfey 2009). Moreover, a premature middle cortex root phenotype is exhibited by mutants deficient in key components of GA signaling (Cui and Benfey 2009). Surprisingly, a normal ground tissue phenotype has been detected in the root of both sleepy (sly) 1-10 and sneezy (sne) mutants in which DELLA proteins are not degraded ,and, therefore, a high steady-state level of GAs is believed to be present (McGinnis et al. 2003; Strader et al. 2004; Cui and Benfey 2009). Conversely, radial patterning defects were observed in *spindly (spy)* mutants in which GA signaling is not compromised (Swain et al. 2002; Cui and Benfey 2009). The possibility that SPINDLY (SPY), which encodes an O-GLNAc transferase, could act by postranscriptionally modulating SHR and SCR activities has been excluded based on experimental grounds (Cui and Benfey 2009). Note that in animal systems, a SPY homolog interacts with a histone deacetylases (HADC) (Yang et al. 2002). Since in wild-type roots a premature middle cortex phenotype has been also induced by inhibiting HADC activity, it was suggested that SPY could play a role in middle cortex formation through an epigenetic mechanism, perhaps negatively modulating cell division genes in the ground tissue (Cui and Benfey 2009). In the context of a more complex hormone circuitry, note also that a cross talk between GA and cytokinins has been suggested, which partially converge on SPY function (Greenboim-Wainberg et al. 2005). Indeed cytokinin signaling is affected in the spy mutant and GAs also repress the effects of cytokinins, by inhibiting induction of the cytokinin primary-response gene, type-A Arabidopsis response regulator 5 (ARF5). Thus, SPY acts as both a repressor of GA responses and a positive regulator of cytokinin signaling (Greenboim-Wainberg et al. 2005).

Cross-talk between auxin and brassinosteroids (BR) is also active in root patterning (Fig. 15) There is evidence that auxin strongly promotes the expression of BREVIS RADIX (BRX), which encodes a transcription factor that is rate-limiting for BR biosynthesis and auxin responsive gene expression. brx mutants are characterized by impaired root growth although they maintain a normal capacity to initiate lateral roots and respond normally to gravitropic stimulus. Moreover, in brx mutants, the expression of auxin-responsive genes is impaired, although they maintain an intact auxin signaling pathway (Mouchel et al. 2006; Scacchi et al. 2009). This is consistent with the BRX pathway lowering the level of constitutive repression of auxin-induced genes by impinging on the DNA-binding capacity of the repressive ARF2 (Vert et al. 2008). An autoregulatory feedback loop of BRX expression is also active in that BRX protein abundance is negatively regulated by auxin, likely being a target for auxin-induced proteasome degradation while BRX is itself an auxin-induced gene (Fig. 15). Recently, it has been also demonstrated that BRX protein colocalizes with PIN1 at the plasma membrane and moves to the nucleus in an auxin concentration- or flux-dependent manner (Mouchel et al. 2006; Scacchi et al. 2009). In spite of its reduced level in the nucleus, BRX plays a transcriptional modulatory role in cooperation with the B3 domain-type transcription factor NGATHA (NGA1), which in turn is related to ARFs. BRX protein and NGA 1 factors might represent a novel coregulator-transcription factor duo, analogous to AUX/IAA-ARF, which might act in conveying auxin efflux modulation into a different pattern of gene expression (Scacchi et al. 2009). The auxin response necessary for cytokinin-induced inhibiton of lateral root formation was hypothesized to be lost in the *brx* mutant, which if correct, would establish a link between BRX and cytokinin action (Li et al. 2009). Moreover, an interaction occurs between brassinosteroids, auxin, and abscisic acid (ABA) in root development. It is well known that ABA-related glucose signaling is involved in the control of RAM maintenance and development through pleiotropic effects (Brocard-Gifford et al. 2004; Shishkova et al. 2008). Recently, mutants affected in BR signaling also showed enhanced ABA sensitivity while transcriptomic analysis revealed that ABA negatively affects auxin signaling (Rodrigues et al. 2009).

6 Stem-Cell State and Chromatin Remodelers

Chromatin remodeling, accomplished through posttranslational histone modifications and changes in DNA methylation level, is an important mechanism for regulating gene activity in both animals and plants (Huck-Hui and Bird 1999; Riechman 2002; Suzuki and Bird 2008; Law and Jacobsen 2009, 2010). In animals, there is evidence that a stem-cell-specific state of chromatin is related to the repression of genes, which promote differentiation while simultaneously promoting stem-cells and proliferation factors (Boyer et al. 2006). Moreover, in murine embryonic stem-cells, repressive and promotive chromatin motifs have been identified within key development genes (Bernstein et al. 2006; Mikkelsen et al. 2007). This could be a mechanism for silencing differentiation genes while keeping them primed for activation. In mammalian stem-cells, a role has been demonstrated for the Polycomb group (PCg) proteins complexes PRC2 and PRC1 in gene silencing. Notably, PRC-complex-binding sites harbor trimethylated histone (H3K27met) (Azuara et al. 2006; Boyer et al. 2006; Schwaz et al. 2006; Schwarz and Pirrotta 2007).

Whether reversibility in chromatin accessibility is also related to stem-cell function in plants is of current debate. Despite the presence in plants of several PRC2 complexes with specific functions and the interaction of a PRC2 complex in repressing SHOOTMERISTEMLESS (STM) in the differentiated cells of the SAM, evidence for their putative role in a plant stem-cell niche is not yet available (Hsieh et al. 2003; Katz et al. 2004). However, there is evidence of a role for chromatin organization in plant stem-cell specification. For example, in the SAM, there is an involvement of plant-CHROMATIN-ASSEMBLY FACTOR-1 (CAF1), which is necessary for maintaining chromatin integrity, and SPLAYED (SYD), a chromatin remodeling ATPase belonging to a class of SFN2 transcriptional regulators (Kaya et al. 2001; Kwon et al. 2005). Further observations in RAMs deal with the activity of PICKLE (PKL), a CHD3 chromatin remodeling factor, and TOPLESS (TPL), a nuclear protein that bears some similarity to a transcriptional corepressor. PKL represses embryonic genes in germinating seedlings, while TPL seemingly participates in a chromatin-based stabilization of the embryo axis through the repression of root-promoting genes (Ogas et al. 1999; Long et al. 2006). Consistent with this role, tpl mutants were rescued by mutation of HAG1, which encodes a histone acetyltransferase (HAT), and this was enhanced by a mutation in HAD19, which encodes a histone deacetylase, acting in promoting and repressing target genes, respectively (Long et al. 2006). RNA-directed DNA methylation, which leads to chromatin modification and represents an additional mechanism of epigenetic regulation, has also been related to a correct development of the SAM (Kidner and Martienssen 2005).

Concerning the RAM, LHP1, which as mentioned above (see Sects. 4.3.2 and 4.2) has a role in ground tissue patterning, seemingly acts as a transcriptional repressor by modifying chromatin conformation (Sung et al. 2006; Turck et al. 2007; Welch et al. 2007). However, HLP1 seems not to change histone modification, and unlike the animal homolog, HP1, LHP1 targets both heterochromatin and euchromatin and binds to H3 histones that are trimethylated at lysine 27 (Turck et al. 2007). Moreover, the weakness of *lhp1* phenotypes compared to that of both *spy-3* and roots treated with HDAC inhibitor, suggested that LHP1 enhances the silencing effect of other mechanisms rather than directly causing gene silencing itself (Cui and Benfey 2009). So, it has been proposed that LHP1, SPY, and GA signaling act together in a common epigenetic mechanism involving histone deacetylation, which allows SCR to acts as a repressor of cell division gene activity (Fig. 13) (Cui and Benfey 2009). Notably and as described above (see Sect. 4.3.2),

a histone acetyltransferase activity (GCN5) has also been found to act in the PLT pathway by modulating a PLT gradient (Kornet and Scheres 2009).

Additional evidence of epigenetic control of the stem-cell condition in plants is through the understanding of the RETINOBLASTOMA-related (RBR) protein, a master negative regulator of cell-cycle progression, which in animal systems interacts with differentiation promoting factors and chromatin remodeling proteins (Macaluso et al. 2006). In plants, reduced RBR activity induced additional division of columella daughter cells, which acquired stem-cell potential, thus expanding the stem-cell pool, while increased RBR expression promoted premature differentiation (Wildwater et al. 2005). Notably, RBR, which can be locally modulated by a root-specific RNAi, primarily affected cell differentiation rather than the cell cycle as seen by unaffected cell cycle progression in the expanding columella stem-cell population under reduced RBR activity (Wildwater et al. 2005). A model that provides robustness to the involvement of epigenetic regulation mechanisms has been proposed, in which KRYPTONYTE2 (KPR2), a histone H3 methyltransferase (Jackson et al. 2002), inhibits cyclinD/kinase, which inhibits RBR, which in turn inhibits cell cycle-promoting transcription factors (E2F), thus modulating the progression of a stem-cell to differentiation (Fig. 12b) (Wildwater et al. 2005). Recently, increased RBR2;1 expression in the QC, together with ARGONAUTE (AGO)4-mediated siRNA events have been postulated to play a role in maize root repatterning (Jiang et al. 2010).

Finally, an overexpression of genes that play a role in chromosome organization and biogenesis, including genes involved in histones and DNA modifications, has been detected in the SAM stem-cell niche of *Arabidopsis*, through a high-resolution gene expression map. On this basis, it has been proposed that in plants, stem-cell chromatin is maintained in a flexible state in order to dynamically balance gene expression (Yadav et al. 2009).

7 Conclusions and Perspectives

Despite the huge quantity of data on transcriptional networks that underlie root developmental pattern and its interplay with hormone signaling, the spatiotemporal complexity and the dynamic framework of root transcriptional program are still far from being resolved. In the future, major understanding could be achieved by extending recent approaches, which have been refined to address and define expression profiles at the level of individual cell types (Birnbaum et al. 2003; Schmid et al. 2005; Levesque et al. 2006; Brady et al. 2007; Yadav et al. 2009), as well as by combining different tools. For example, genome-wide expression analysis has very high resolution and is efficient in identifying rare transcripts. Multiplex *in situ* hybridization allows one to simultaneously localize transcripts at the cellular level. Live-imaging has been developed to follow intracellular and spatial distribution of signaling molecules and regulatory factors, and therefore the dynamics of cell identity. ChIP/chip and ChIP/seq techniques enable identification of large numbers

of transcription factors and target genes. Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis allows large gene functional characterization. Informatic elaboration enables the management of huge data sets and the elaboration of predictive computational models (Heisler et al. 2005; Brady et al. 2007; Kiefer 2009; Yadav et al. 2009).

With the development of such techniques, we appear to be on the cusp of major breakthroughs in understanding plant development. However, in the context of current knowledge, two major unanswered questions are, on the one hand, how transcriptional networks are temporally regulated during the progression of cells toward a particular developmental fate and, on the other, how such networks are modulated in relation to environmental stimuli and selection pressures. In particular, besides defining transcriptional changes, it will become increasingly important to identify how different genes interact in determining adaptive traits. Moreover, a related and poorly explored field deals with genetic networks and signaling that underlie stem-cell reprogramming within the context of differentiated tissues, which in plants frequently occurs both during intrinsic developmental programs (i.e., lateral root formation, activity of lateral meristems, axillary buds), as well as in relation to adventitious morphogenesis and in vitro regeneration processes (Chiappetta et al. 2006, 2009; Costa and Shaw 2006; Xu et al. 2006; Sena et al. 2009). Such knowledge should also be relevant for the development of refined plant biotechnology.

Finally, since root patterning is largely diversified within the plant kingdom, it will be interesting to extend our knowledge to different species and use natural variation to understand regulatory networks that control developmental processes. In particular, the transfer of this knowledge to crop plants should be of benefit in improving crop yield and quality and making them adapted to survive in our everchanging global environment.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank Dennis Francis for fruitful discussions, helpful comments, and for critical reading of the manuscript. We also apologize to authors whose relevant work could not be cited owing to space constraints. Finally, M.B.B. is grateful to her Ph.D student Domenico Iaria for Photoshop drawings.

References

- Achard P, Vriezen WH, Van Der Straeten D, Harberd NP (2003) Ethylene regulates *Arabidopsis* development via the modulation of DELLA protein growth repressor function. Plant Cell 15:2816–2825
- Achard P, Gusti A, Cheminant S, Alioua M, Dhondt S, Coppens F, Beemster GT, Genschik P (2009) Gibberellin signaling controls cell proliferation rate in *Arabidopsis*. Curr Biol 19:1188–1193
- Aida M, Beis D, Heidstra R, Willemsen V, Blilou I, Galinha C, Nussaume L, Noh Y, Amasino R, Scheres B (2004) The PLETHORA genes mediate patterning of the Arabidopsis root stem-cell niche. Cell 119:109–120
- Altamura MM, Biondi S, Colombo L, Guzzo F (2007) Elementi di biologia dello sviluppo delle piante. EdiSES Publishers, Napoli, Italy

- Armstrong JE, Heimsch C (1976) Ontogenetic reorganization of the root meristem in the Compositae. Am J Bot 63:212–219
- Audus LJ (1960) Magnetotropism: a new plant growth response. Nature 185:132-134
- Azuara V, Perry P, Sauer S, Spivakov M, Jørgensen HF, John RM, Gouti M, Casanova M, Warnes G, Merkenschlager M, Fisher AG (2006) Chromatin signatures of pluripotent cell lines. Nat Cell Biol 8:532–538
- Barlow PW (1974) Regeneration of the cap of primary roots of Zea mays. New Phytol 73:937-954
- Barlow PW (1978) The concept of the stem-cell in the context of plant growth and development. In: Lord BI, Potter CS, Cole RJ (eds) Stem-cells and tissue homeostasis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 87–113
- Barlow PW (1997) Stem-cells and founder zones in plants, particularly their roots. In: Potten CS (ed) Stem-cells. Academic, London, pp 29–57
- Behrens HM, Weisenseel MH, Sievers A (1982) Rapid changes in the pattern of electric current around the root tip of *Lepidium Sativum* L. following gravistimulation. Plant Physiol 70:1079–1083
- Benjamins R, Scheres B (2008) Auxin: the looping star in plant development. Ann Rev Plant Biol 59:443–465
- Benková E, Michniewicz M, Sauer M, Teichmann T, Seifertová D, Jürgens G, Friml J (2003) Local, efflux-dependent auxin gradients as a common module for plant organ formation. Cell 115:591–596
- Bernstein BE, Mikkelsen TS, Xie X, Kamal M, Huebert DJ, Cuff J, Fry B, Meissner A, Wernig M, Plath K, Jaenisch R, Wagschal A, Feil R, Schreiber SL, Lander ES (2006) A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem-cells. Cell 125:315–326
- Birnbaum K, Shasha DE, Wang JY, Jung JW, Lambert GM, Galbraith DW, Benfey PN (2003) A gene expression map of the *Arabidopsis* root. Science 302:1956–1960
- Bitonti MB, Mazzuca S, Ting T, Innocenti AM (2006) Magnetic field affects meristem activity and cell differentiation in *Zea mays* roots. Plant Biosyst 140:87–93
- Blilou I, Xu J, Wildwater M, Willemsen V, Paponov I, Friml J, Heidstra R, Aida M, Palme K, Scheres B (2005) The PIN auxin efflux facilitator network controls growth and patterning in *Arabidopsis* roots. Nature 433:39–44
- Boyer LA, Plath K, Zeitlinger J, Brambrink T, Medeiros L, Lee TI, Levine SS, Wernig M, Tajonar A, Ray MK, Bell GW, Otte AP, Vidal M, Gifford DK, Young RA, Jaenisch R (2006) Polycomb complexes repress developmental regulators in murine embryonic stem-cells. Nature 441:349–353
- Brady SM, Orlando DA, Lee JY, Wang JY, Koch J, Dinneny JR, Mace D, Ohler U, Benfey PN (2007) A high-resolution root spatiotemporal map reveals dominant expression patterns. Science 318:801–806
- Brand U, Fletcher JC, Hobe M, Meyerowitz EM, Simon R (2000) Dependence of stem-cell fate in *Arabidopsis* on a feedback loop regulated by CLV3 activity. Science 289:617–619
- Breuninger H, Rikirsch E, Hermann M, Ueda M, Laux T (2008) Differential expression of WOX genes mediates apical-basal axis formation in the *Arabidopsis* embryo. Dev Cell 14:867–876
- Brocard-Gifford I, Linch TJ, Garcia ME, Malhotra B, Finkelstein RR (2004) The Arabidopsis thaliana ABSCISIC-ACID-INSENSITIVE8 encodes a novel protein mediating abscisic acid and sugar response essential for growth. Plant Cell 16:406–421
- Chae HS, Kieber J (2005) Eto Brute? The role of ACS turnover in regulating ethylene biosynthesis. Trends Plant Sci 10:291–296
- Chiappetta A, Petrarulo M, Fambrini M, Pugliesi C, Dewitte W, Van Onckelen H, Innocenti AM, Bitonti MB (2006) Zeatin accumulation and misexpression of a class I knox gene are intimately linked in the epiphyllous response of the interspecific hybrid EMB-2 (*Helianthus annuus H. tuberosus*). Planta 223:917–931
- Chiappetta A, Fambrini M, Petrarulo M, Rapparini F, Michelotti V, Bruno L, Greco M, Baraldi R, Salvini M, Pugliesi C, Bitonti MB (2009) Ectopic expression of LEAFY COTYLEDON1-LIKE

gene and localized auxin accumulation mark embryogenic competence in epiphyllous plants of *Helianthus annuus* x *H. tuberosus*. Ann Bot 103:735–747

- Clowes FLA (1954) The promeristem and the minimal constructional centre in grass root apices. New Phytol 53:108–116
- Clowes FLA (1956) Nucleic acids in root apical meristems of Zea. New Phytol 55:29-34
- Clowes FLA (1959) Reorganization of root apices after irradiation. Ann Bot 23:205–210
- Clowes FLA (1961) Effect of β -radiation on meristems. Exp Cell Res 25:529–534
- Clowes FLA (1975) The quiescent centre. In: Torrey JG, Clarkson DT (eds) The development and function of roots. Academie, London, pp 3–19
- Clowes FLA (1976) Estimation of growth fractions in meristems of Zea mays L. Ann Bot 40:933–938
- Clowes FLA (1981) The difference between open and closed meristems. Ann Bot 48:761-767
- Clowes FAL (2000) Pattern in root meristem development in angiosperms. New Phytol 146:83–94 Clowes FAL, Stewart HE (1967) Recovery from dormancy in roots. New Phytol 66:115–123
- Costa S, Shaw P (2006) Chromatin organization and cell fate switch respond to positional information in *Arabidopsis*. Nature 439:493–496
- Cui H, Benfey PN (2009) Interplay between SCARECROW, GA and LIKE HETEROCHROMA-TIN PROTEIN 1 in ground tissue patterning in the *Arabidopsis* root. Plant J 58:1016–1027
- Cui H, Levesque MP, Vernoux T, Jung JW, Paquette AJ, Gallagher KL, Wang JY, Blilou I, Scheres B, Benfey PN (2007) An evolutionarily conserved mechanism delimiting SHR movement defines a single layer of endodermis in plants. Science 316:421–425
- Davies PJ (1995) Plant hormones physiology biochemistry and molecular biology. Kluwer, Dordrecht
- De Smet I, Vassileva V, De Rybel B, Levesque MP, Grunewald W, Van Damme D, Van Noorden G, Naudts M, Van Isterdael G, De Clercq R (2008) Receptor-like kinase ACR4 restricts formative cell divisions in the *Arabidopsis* root. Science 322:594–597
- De Tullio MC, Jiang K, Feldman LJ (2009) Redox regulation of root apical meristem organization: connecting root development to its environment. Plant Physiol Biochem. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2009.11.005
- Dello Ioio R, Linhares FS, Scacchi E, Casamitjana-Martinez E, Heidstra R, Costantino P, Sabatini S (2007) Cytokinins determine *Arabidopsis* root-meristem size by controlling cell differentiation. Curr Biol 17:678–682
- Dello Ioio R, Nakamura K, Moubayidin L, Perilli S, Taniguchi M, Morita MT, Aoyama T, Costantino P, Sabatini S (2008) A genetic framework for the control of cell division and differentiation in the root meristems. Science 322:1380–1384
- Di Laurenzio L, Wysocka-Diller J, Malamy JE, Pysh L, Helariutta Y, Freshour G, Hahn MG, Feldmann KA, Benfey PN (1996) The SCARECROW gene regulates an asymmetric cell division that is essential for generating the radial organization of the *Arabidopsis* root. Cell 86:423–433
- Dinneny JR, Benfey PN (2008) Plant stem-cell niches: standing the test of time. Cell 132:553-557
- Dolan L, Janmaat K, Willemsen V, Linstead P, Poethig S, Roberts K, Scheres B (1993) Cellular organisation of the Arabidopsis thaliana root. Development 119:71–84
- Doncheva S, Amenós M, Poschenrieder C, Barceló J (2005) Root cell patterning: a primary target for aluminium toxicity in maize. J Exp Bot 56:1213–1220
- Eckardt NA (2010) Redox regulation of auxin signalling and plant development. Plant Cell 22:295. doi:10.1105/tpc.110.220212
- Feldman LJ, Torrey GJ (1975) The quiescent center and primary vascular tissue patter formation in cultured roots Zea. Can J Bot 53:2796–2803
- Fiers M, Golemiec E, van der Schors R, van der Geest L, Li KW, Stiekema WJ, Liu CM (2006) The CLAVATA3/ESR motif of CLAVATA3 is functionally independent from the nonconserved flanking sequences. Plant Physiol 141:1284–1292
- Francis D (2007) The plant cell cycle 15 years on. New Phytol 174:261-278
- Francis D, Halford NG (2006) Nutrient sensing in plant meristems. Plant Mol Biol 60:981-993

Friml J (2003) Auxin transport shaping the plant. Curr Opin Plant Biol 6:7-12

- Friml J, Vieten A, Sauer M, Weijers D, Schwarz H, Hamann T, Offringa R, Jürgens G (2003) Effluxdependent auxin gradients establish the apical-basal axis of *Arabidopsis*. Nature 426:147–153
- Fu XD, Harberd NP (2003) Auxin promotes Arabidopsis root growth by modulating gibberellin response. Nature 421:740–743
- Fukaki H, Tasaka M (2009) Hormone interaction during lateral root formation. Plant Mol Biol 69:437–449
- Fusconi A, Gnavi E, Trotta A, Berta G (1999) Apical meristems of tomato roots and their modifications induced by arbuscolar mychorrhizal and soliborne pathogenic fungi. New Phytol 142:505–516
- Galinha C, Hofhuis H, Luijten M, Willemsen V, Blilou I, Heidstra R, Scheres B (2007) PLETH-ORA proteins as dose-dependent master regulatorsof *Arabidopsis* root development. Nature 449:1053–1057
- Gallois JL, Nora FR, Mizukami Y, Sablowski R (2004) WUSCHEL induces shoot stem-cell activity and developmental plasticity in the root meristem. Genes Dev 18:375–380
- Goodman AM, Ennos AR (1996) A comparative study of the response of the roots and shoots of sunflower and maize to mechanical stimulation. J Exp Bot 47:1499–1507
- Greenboim-Wainberg Y, Maymon I, Borochov R, Alvarez J, Olszewski N, Ori N, Eshed Y, Weiss D (2005) Cross talk between gibberellin and cytokinin: the *Arabidopsis* GA response inhibitor SPINDLY plays a positive role in cytokinin signalling. Plant Cell 17:92–102
- Grieneisen VA, Xu J, Maree AF, Hogeweg P, Scheres B (2007) Auxin transport is sufficient to generate a maximum and gradient guiding root growth. Nature 449:1008–1013
- Guttenberg HV (1968) Der primare Bau der Angiospermenwurzel. Handbuch der Pflanzenanatomie. Band 8, Teil 5. Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin, Germany
- Haecker A, Grob-Hardt R, Geiges B, Sarkar A, Breuninger H, Herrmann A, Laux T (2004) Expression dynamics of WOX genes mark cell fate decisions during early embryonic patterning in Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 131:657–668
- Hall Q, Cannon MC (2002) The cell wall hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein RSH is essential for normal embryo development in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 14:1161–1172
- Hamann T, Mayer U, Jürgens G (1999) The auxin-insensitive bodenlos mutation affects primary root formation and apical-basal patterning in the *Arabidopsis* embryo. Development 126:1387–1395
- Hamann T, Benkova E, Bäurle I, Kientz M, Jürgens G (2002) The *Arabidopsis* BODENLOS gene encodes an auxin response protein inhibiting MONOPTEROS-mediated embryo patterning. Genes Dev 16:1610–1615
- Hardtke CS, Berleth T (1998) The Arabidopsis gene MONOPTEROS encodes a transcription factor mediating embryo axis formation and vascular development. EMBO J 17:1405–1411
- Heimsch C, Seago JL Jr (2008) Organization of the root apical meristem in angiosperms. Am J Bot 95:1–21
- Heisler MG, Ohno C, Das P, Sieber P, Reddy GV, Long JA, Meyerowitz EM (2005) Patterns of auxin transport and gene expression during primordium development revealed by live imaging of the *Arabidopsis* inflorescence meristem. Curr Biol 15:1899–1911
- Helariutta Y, Fukaki H, Wysocka-Diller J, Nakajima K, Jung J, Sena G, Hauser MT, Benfey PN (2000) The SHORT-ROOT gene controls radial patterning of the *Arabidopsis* root through radial signaling. Cell 101:555–567
- Hellmann H, Hobbie L, Chapman A, Dharmasiri S, Dharmasiri N, Del Pozo C, Reinhardt D, Estelle M (2003) *Arabidopsis* AXR6 encodes CUL1 implicating SCF E3 ligases in auxin regulation of embryogenesis. EMBO J 22:3314–3325
- Hobbie L, McGovern M, Hurwitz LR, Pierro A, Liu NY, Bandyopadhyay A, Estelle M (2000) The axr6 mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana* define a gene involved in auxin responses and early development. Development 127:23–32
- Hobe M, Muller R, Grunewald M, Brand U, Simon R (2003) Loss of CLE40, a protein functionally equivalent to the stem-cell restricting signal CLV3, enhances root waving in *Arabidopsis*. Dev Genes Evol 213:371–381

- Hsieh TF, Hakim O, Ohad N, Fischer RL (2003) From flour to flower: how Polycomb group proteins influence multiple aspects of plant development. Trends Plant Sci 8:439–445
- Huck-Hui N, Bird A (1999) DNA methylation and chromatin modification. Curr Opin Genet Dev 9:158–163
- Ikeda Y, Men S, Fischer U, Stepanova AN, Alonso JM, Ljung K, Grebe M (2009) Local auxin biosynthesis modulates gradient-directed planar polarity in Arabidopsis. Nat Cell Biol 11:731–738
- Innocenti AM, Bitonti MB, Arrigoni O, Liso R (1990) The size of quiescent centre in roots of *Allium cepa* L. grown with ascorbic acid. New Phytol 114:507–509
- Ishida T, Fujiwara S, Miura K, Stacey N, Yoshimura M, Schneider K, Adachi S, Minamisawa K, Umeda M, Sugimoto K (2009) Sumo E3 ligase HIGH PLOIDY2 regulates endocycle onset and meristems maintenance in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell 2:2284–2297
- Jackson D (2005) Transcription factor movement through plasmodesmata. In: Oparka K (ed), Plasmodesmata. Ann Plant Rev 18. Blackwell, Dundee, pp 113–130
- Jackson JP, Lindroth AM, Cao X, Jacobsen SE (2002) Control of CpNpG DNA methylation by the KRYPTONITE histone H3 methyltransferase. Nature 416: 556–560
- Jiang K, Feldman LJ (2005) Regulation of root apical meristem development. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 21:485–509
- Jiang K, Zhu T, Diao Z, Huang H, Feldman LJ (2010) The maize root stem cell niche: a partnership between two sister cell populations. Planta 231:411–424
- Katz A, Oliva M, Mosquna A, Hakim O, Ohad N (2004) FIE and CURLY LEAF polycomb proteins interact in the regulation of homeobox gene expression during sporophyte development. Plant J 37:707–719
- Kaya H, Shibahara KI, Taoka KI, Iwabuchi M, Stillman B, Araki T (2001) FASCIATA genes for chromatin assembly factor-1 in *Arabidopsis* maintain the cellular organization of apical meristems. Cell 104:131–142
- Kepinski S, Leyser O (2005) The Arabidopsis F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. Nature 435:446-451
- Kidner CA, Martienssen R (2005) The developmental role of microRNA in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 8:38–44
- Kiefer JC (2009) Primer and interviews: gene regulation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Dev Dyn 238:2249–2458
- Kornet N, Scheres B (2009) Stem-cell factors in plants: chromatin connections. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 73:235–242
- Kropf DL, Bisgrove SR, Hable WE (1999) Establishing a growth axis in fucoid algae. Trends Plant Sci 4:490–494
- Kwon CS, Chen C, Wagner D (2005) WUSCHEL is a primary target for transcriptional regulation by SPLAYED in dynamic control of stem-cell fate in *Arabidopsis*. Genes Dev 19:992–1003
- Larkins BA, Dilkes BP, Dante RA, Coelho CM, Woo Y, Liu Y (2001) Investigating the hows and whys of DNA endoreduplication. J Exp Bot 52:183–192
- Lau S, Jürgens G, De Smet I (2008) The evolving complexity of the auxin pathway. Plant Cell 20:1738–1746
- Lauber MH, Waizenegger I, Steinmann T, Schwarz H, Mayer U, Hwang I, Lukowitz W, Jürgens G (1997) The Arabidopsis KNOLLE protein is a cytokinesis-specific syntaxin. J Cell Biol 139:1485–1493
- Law JA, Jacobsen SE (2009) Dynamic DNA methylation. Science 323:1568–1569
- Law JA, Jacobsen SE (2010) Establishing, maintaining and modifying DNA methylation patterns in plants and animals. Nat Rev Genet 11:204–220
- Lee JY, Cui W (2009) Non-cell autonomous RNA trafficking and long-distance signaling. J Plant Biol 52:10–18
- Levesque MP, Vernoux T, Busch W, Cui H, Wang JY, Blilou I, Hassan H, Nakajima K, Matsumoto N, Lohmann JU (2006) Whole-genome analysis of the SHORT-ROOT developmental pathway in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Biol 4:739–752

- Li L, Xie T (2005) Stem-cell niche: structure and function. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 21:605-631
- Li H, Cheng Y, Murphy A, Hagen G, Wilfoyle TJ (2009) Constitutive repression and activation of auxin signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 149:1277–1288
- Liso R, Innocenti AM, Bitonti MB, Arrigoni O (1988) Ascorbic acid-induced progression of quiescent centre cells from G1 to S phase. New Phytol 110:469–471
- Ljung K, Hull AK, Celenza J, Yamada M, Estelle M, Normanly J, Sandberg G (2005) Sites and regulation of auxin biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* roots. Plant Cell 17:1090–1104
- Long JA, Ohno C, Smith ZR, Meyerowitz EM (2006) TOPLESS regulates apical embryonic fate in Arabidopsis. Science 312:1520–1523
- Lucas WJ, Lee JY (2004) Plasmodesmata as a supracellular control network in plants. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 5:712–726
- Macaluso M, Montanari M, Giordano A (2006) A: Rb family proteins as modulators of gene expression and new aspects regarding the interaction with chromatin remodeling enzymes. Oncogene 25:5263–5267
- Mayer U, Büttner G, Jürgens G (1993) Apical–basal pattern formation in the *Arabidopsis* embryo: studies on the role of the gnom gene. Development 117:149–162
- Mayer KFX, Schoof H, Haecker A, Lenhard M, Jurgens G, Laux T (1998) Role of WUSCHEL in regulating stem-cell fate in the *Arabidopsis* shoot meristem. Cell 95:805–815
- McCulloch EA, Till JE (2005) Perspectives on the properties of stem-cells. Nat Med 11:1026–1028
- McGinnis KM, Thomas SG, Soule JD, Strader LC, Zale JM, Sun TP, Steber CM (2003) The *Arabidopsis* SLEEPY1 gene encodes a putative F-box subunit of an SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase. Plant Cell 15:1120–1130
- Meyerowitz EM (2002) Plants compared to animals: the broadest comparative study of development. Science 295:1482–1485
- Mikkelsen TS, Ku M, Jaffe DB, Issac B, Lieberman E, Giannoukos G, Alvarez P, Brockman W, Kim TK, Koche RP, Lee W, Mendenhall E, O'Donovan A, Presser A, Russ C, Xie X, Meissner A, Wernig M, Jaenisch R, Nusbaum C, Lander ES, Bernstein BE (2007) Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. Nature 448:553–560
- Morrison SJ, Spradling AC (2008) Stem-cells and niches: mechanisms that promote stem-cell maintenance throughout life. Cell 132:598–611
- Moubayidin L, Di Mambro R, Sabatini S (2009) Cytokinin-auxin crosstalk. Trends Plant Sci 14:557–562
- Mouchel CF, Osmont KS, Hardtke CS (2006) BRX mediates feedback between brassinosteroid levels and auxin signaling in root growth. Nature 443:458–461
- Muller B, Sheen J (2008) Cytokinin and auxin interaction in root stem-cell specification during early embryogenesis. Nature 453:1094–1097
- Nakajima K, Sena G, Nawy T, Benfey P (2001) Intercellular movement of the putative transcription factor SHR in root patterning. Nature 413:307–311
- Nardmann J, Reisewitz P, Werr W (2009) Discrete shoot and root stem-cell promoting WUS/ WOX5 functions are an evolutionary innovation of angiosperms. Mol Biol Evol 26:1745–1755
- Nawy T, Lee JY, Colinas J, Wang JY, Thongrod SC, Malamy JE, Birbaum K, Benfey PN (2005) Transcriptional profile of the Arabidopsis root quiescent center. Plant Cell 17:1908–1925
- Ogas J, Kaufmann S, Henderson J, Somerville C (1999) PICKLE is a CHD3 chromatin-remodeling factor that regulates the transition from embryonic to vegetative development in *Arabidopsis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:13839–13844
- Ohlstein B, Kai T, Decotto E, Spradling A (2004) The stem-cell niche: theme and variations. Curr Opin Cell Biol 16:693–699
- Ortega-Martinez O, Pernas M, Carol RJ, Dolan L (2007) Ethylene modulates stem-cell division in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* root. Science 317:507–510
- Paquette AJ, Benfey PN (2005) Maturation of the ground tissue of the root is regulated by gibberellin and SCARECROW and requires SHORT-ROOT. Plant Physiol 138:636–640
- Perilli S, Moubayidin L, Sabatini S (2009) The molecular basis of cytokinin function. Curr Opin Plant Biol 13:21–26

- Petersson SV, Johansson AI, Kowalczyk M, Makoveychuk A, Wang JY, Moritz T, Grebe M, Benfey PN, Sandberg G, Ljung K (2009) An auxin gradient and maximum in the *Arabidopsis* root apex shown by high-resolution cell-specific analysis of IAA distribution and synthesis. Plant Cell 21:1659–1668
- Petrášek J, Friml J (2009) Auxin transport routes in plant development. Development 136: 2675–2688
- Potters G, Pasternak TP, Guisez Y, Jansen MA (2009) Different stresses, similar morphogenic responses: integrating a plethora of pathways. Plant cell Environ 32:158–169
- Pysh LD, Wysocka-Diller JW, Camilleri C, Bouchez D, Benfey PN (1999) The GRAS gene family in *Arabidopsis*: sequence characterization and basic expression analysis of the SCARECROW-LIKE genes. Plant J 18:111–119
- Qu LJ, Zhu YX (2006) Transcription factor families in Arabidopsis: major progress and outstanding issues for future research. Curr Opin Plant Biol 9:544–549
- Richter S, Anders N, Wolters H, Beckmann H, Thomann A, Heinrich R, Schrader J, Singh MK, Geldner N, Mayer U, Jürgens G (2010) Role of the GNOM gene in *Arabidopsis* apical-basal patterning – from mutant phenotype to cellular mechanism of protein action. Eur J Cell Biol 89:138–144
- Riechman JL (2002) Transcriptional regulation: a genomic overview. In: Somerville CR, Meyerowitz EM (eds) The Arabidopsis book. American Society of Plant Biologists, Rockville, MD
- Robert HS, Friml J (2009) Auxin and other signals on the move in plants. Nat Chem Biol 5:325-332
- Rodrigues A, Santiago J, Rubio S, Saez A, Osmont KS, Gadea J, Hardtke CS, Rodriguez PL (2009) The short-rooted fhenotype of the *brevis radix* mutant partly reflects root Abscisic Acid hypersensitivity^{1[C][W][OA]}. Plant Physiol 149:1917–1928
- Ruzicka K, Simaskova M, Duclercq J, Petrasek J, Zazimalova E, Simon S, Friml J, Van Montagu MCE, Benkova E (2009) Cytokinin regulates root meristem activity via modulation of the polar auxin transport. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:4284–4289
- Sabatini S, Heidstra R, Wildwater M, Scheres B (2003) SCARECROW is involved in positioning the stem-cell niche in the *Arabidopsis* root meristem. Genes Dev 17:354–358
- Sablowski R (2007) The dynamic plant cell niches. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10:639-644
- Sakakibara H (2006) CYTOKININS: activity biosynthesis, and translocation. Ann Rev Plant Biol 57:431–449
- Sanchez-Calderon L, Lopez-bucio J, Chacon-Lopez A, Cruz-Ramirez A, Nieto-Jacobo F, Dubrrovsky JG (2005) Phosphate starvation induces a determinate developmental program in the roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol 46:174–184
- Sarkar AK, Luijten M, Miyashima S, Lenhard M, Hashimoto T, Nakajima K, Scheres B, Heidstra R, Laux T (2007) Conserved factors regulate signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana* shoot and root stem-cell organizers. Nature 446:811–814
- Scacchi E, Osmont KS, Beuchat J, Salinas P, Navarrete-Gomez M, Trigueros M, Ferrandiz C, Hardtke CS (2009) Dynamic, auxin-responsive plasma membrane-to-nucleus movement of *Arabidopsis* BRX. Development 136:2059–2067
- Scheres B (2001) Plant cell identity. The role of position and lineage. Plant Physiol 125:112-114
- Scheres B (2007) Stem-cell niches: nursery rhymes across kingdoms. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8:345–354
- Schmid M, Davison TS, Henz SR, Pape UJ, Demar M, Vingron M, Schölkopf B, Weigel D, Lohmann JU (2005) A gene expression map of *Arabidopsis* thaliana development. Nat Genet 37:501–506
- Schoof H, Lenhard M, Haecker A, Meyer KFX, Jürgens G, Laux T (2000) The stem-cell population of *Arabidopsis* shoot meristema is maintained by a regulatory loop between the CLAVATA and WUSCHEL genes. Cell 100:635–644
- Schwartz YB, Pirrotta V (2007) Polycomb silencing mechanisms and the management of genomic programmes. Nat Rev Genet 8:9–22
- Schwartz YB, Kahn TG, Nix DA, Li XY, Bourgon R, Biggin M, Pirrotta V (2006) Genome-wide analysis of Polycomb targets in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Nat Genet 38:700–705

- Seago JL, Heimsch C (1969) Apical organization in roots of the *Convolvulaceae*. Am J Bot 56:131–138
- Sena G, Wang X, Liu HY, Hofhuis H, Birnbaum KD (2009) Organ regeneration does not require a functional stem-cell niche in plants. Nature 457:1150–1153
- Sharma P, Dubey RS (2007) involvement of oxidative stress and role of antioxidative defense system in growing rice seedlings exposed to toxic concentrations of aluminium. Plant Cell Rep 26:2027–2038
- Shishkova S, Rost TL, Dubrovsky JG (2008) Determinate root growth and meristem maintenance in Angiosperms. Ann Bot 101:319–340
- Spradling A, Drummond-Barbosa D, Kai T (2001) Stem-cells find their niche. Nature 414: 98-104
- Stahl Y, Simon R (2009) Is the Arabidopsis root niche protected by sequestration of the CLE40 signal by its putative receptor ACR4. Plant Signal Behav 4:634–635
- Stahl Y, Simon R (2010) Plant primary meristems: shared functions and regulatory mechanisms. Curr Opin Plant Biol 13:53–58
- Stahl Y, Wink RH, Ingram GC, Simon R (2009) A signaling module controlling the stem-cell niche in *Arabidopsis* root meristems. Curr Biol 19:909–914
- Stange BC, Rowland RE, Rapley BI, Podd JV (2002) ELF magnetic fields increase amino acid uptake into *Vicia faba* L. roots and alter ion movement across the plasma membrane. Bioelectromagnetics 23:347–354
- Steinmann T, Geldner N, Grebe M, Mangold S, Jackson CL, Paris S, Gälweiler L, Palme K, Jürgens G (1999) Coordinated polar localization of auxin efflux carrier PIN1 by GNOM ARF GEF. Science 8:316–318
- Stepanova AN, Robertson-Hoyt J, Yun J, Benavente LM, Xie DY, Dolezal K, Schlereth A, Jurgens G, Alonso JM (2008) TAA1-mediated auxin biosynthesis is essential for hormone crosstalk and plant development. Cell 133:177–191
- Strader LC, Ritchie S, Soule JD, McGinnis KM, Steber CM (2004) Recessive-interfering mutations in the gibberellin signaling gene SLEEPY1 are rescued by overexpression of its homologue, SNEEZY. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:12771–12776
- Strader LC, Monroe-Augustus M, Bartel B (2008) The IBR5phosphatase promotes Arabidopsis auxin responses through a novel mechanism distinct from TIR1 mediated repressor degradation. BMC Plant Biol 8:41–56
- Sung S, He Y, Eshoo TW, Tamada Y, Johnson L, Nakahigashi K, Goto K, Jacobsen SE, Amasino RM (2006) Epigenetic maintenance of the vernalized state in *Arabidopsis thaliana* requires LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN 1. Nat Genet 38:706–710
- Suzuki MM, Bird A (2008) DNA methylation landscapes: provocative insights from epigenomics. Nat Rev Genet 9:465–476
- Swain SM, Tseng TS, Thornton TM, Gopalraj M, Olszewski NE (2002) SPINDLY is a nuclearlocalized repressor of gibberellin signal transduction expressed throughout the plant. Plant Physiol 129:605–615
- Swarup R, Kramer EM, Perry P, Knox K, Geyser MO, Haseloff J, Beemster GTS, Bhalerao R, Bennett MJ (2005) Root gravitropism requires lateral root cap and epidermal cells for transport and response to a mobile auxin signal. Nat Cell Biol 11:1057–1064
- Taiz L, Zeiger E (1998) Plant physiology, 2nd edn. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA
- Taiz L, Zeiger E (2002) Fisiologia vegetale, 2nd ed, Piccin, Padova, Italy
- Thomann A, Lechner E, Hansen M, Dumbliauskas E, Parmentier Y, Kieber J, Scheres B, Genschik P (2009) Arabidopsis CULLIN3 genes regulate primary root growth and patterning by ethylenedependent and – independent mechanisms. Plos Genet 5:e1000328
- To JPC, Kieber JJ (2007) Cytokinin signaling: two-components and more. Trends Plant Sci 13:85-92
- Turck F, Roudier F, Farrona S, Martin-Magniette ML, Guillaume E, Buisine N, Gagnot S, Martienssen RA, Coupland G, Colot V (2007) *Arabidopsis* TFL2/LHP1 specifically associates with genes marked by trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27. PLoS Genet 3(6):e86
- Van den Berg C, Willemsen V, Hage W, Weisbeek P, Scheres B (1995) Cell fate in the *Arabidopsis* root meristem determined by directional signaling. Nature 378:62–65

- Van den Berg C, Willemsen V, Hage W, Weisbeek P, Scheres B (1997) Short-range control of cell differentiation in the Arabidopsis root meristem. Nature 390:287–289
- Veit B (2006) Stem-cell signaling networks in plants. Plant Mol Biol 60:793-810
- Vernon DM, Meinke DW (1994) Embryogenic transformation of the suspensor in twin, a polyembryonic mutant of Arabidopsis. Dev Biol 165:566–573
- Vernoux T, Benfey PN (2005) Signal that regulate stem-cell activity during plant development. Curr Opin Genet Dev 15:388–394
- Vert G, Walcher CL, Chory J, Nemhauser JL (2008) Integration of auxin and brassinosteroid pathways by Auxin Response Factor 2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105:9829–9834
- Vieten A, Sauer M, Brewer PB, Friml J (2007) Molecular and cellular aspects of auxin-transportmediated development. Trends Plant Sci 12:160–168
- Vroemen CW, Langeveld S, Mayer U, Ripper G, Jürgens G, Van Kammen A, De Vries SC (1996) Pattern formation in the *Arabidopsis* embryo revealed by position-specific lipid transfer protein gene expression. Plant Cell 8:783–791
- Waisel Y, Eshel A, Kafkafi U (1997) Plant root: the hidden half, 2nd edn. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 483–811
- Waizenegger I, Lukowitz W, Assaad F, Schwarz H, Jürgens G, Mayer U (2000) The Arabidopsis KNOLLE and KEULE genes interact to promote vesicle fusion during cytokinesis. Curr Biol 10:1371–1374
- Webster PL, Langenauer HD (1973) Experimental control of the activity of the quiescent centre in excised root tips of Zea mays. Planta 112:91–100
- Webster PL, Mc Leod RD (1996) The root apical meristems and its margins. In: Waisel Y, Eshel A, Kafkafi U (eds) Plant root: the hidden half, 2nd edn. Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp 51–76
- Weijers D, Benkova E, Jäger KE, Schlereth A, Hamann T, Kientz M, Wilmoth JC, Reed JW, Jürgens G (2005) Developmental specificity of auxin response by pairs of ARF and Aux/IAA transcriptional regulators. EMBO J 24:1874–1885
- Welch D, Hassan H, Blilou I, Immink R, Heidstra R, Scheres B (2007) *Arabidopsis* JACKDAW and MAGPIE zinc finger proteins delimit asymmetric cell division and stabilize tissue boundaries by restricting SHORT-ROOT action. Genes Dev 21:2196–2204
- Werner T, Schmülling T (2009) Cytokinin action in plant development. Curr Opin Plant Biol 12:527–538
- Wildwater M, Campilho A, Perez-Perez JM, Heidstra R, Blilou I, Korthout H, Chatterjee J, Mariconti L, Gruissem W, Scheres B (2005) The RETINOBLASTOMA-RELATED gene regulates stem-cell maintenance in *Arabidopsis* roots. Cell 123:1337–1349
- Xu J, Hofhuis H, Heidstra R, Sauer M, Friml J, Scheres B (2006) A molecular framework for plant regeneration. Science 311:385–388
- Yadav RK, Girke T, Pasala S, Xie M, Reddy GV (2009) Gene expression map of the *Arabidopsis* shoot apical meristems stem-cell niche. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:4941–4946
- Yang X, Zhang F, Kudlow JE (2002) Recruitment of O-GlcNac transferase to promoters by corepressor mSin3A: coupling protein O-GlcNcylation to transcriptional repression. Cell 110:69–80
- Zazímalová E, Murphy AS, Yang H, Hoyerová K, Hosek P (2010) Auxin transporters-why so many? Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2(3):a001552